

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,121	05/02/2001	Robert C. Krall	MP/155	6524	
7590 08/16/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc.			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY		
551 Paper Mill P.O. Box 9206	Road		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Newark, DE 19714-9206			3762		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/848,121	KRALL ET AL.	M
, identically means.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add:	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	1
10.	J6	KENNEDY SCHAE PRIMARY EXAMI	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advis	sory Action	Part of Pa	per No. 08102004

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A supplemental declaration under §1.131 by declarant Krall is required specifically referring to the Lewis statement as supporting evidence of the allegation of fact (see MPEP 715.07)